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Abstract:
The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to provide new evidence on the effects of assisted reproductive technology (ART) multiple births on maternal mental health. A bibliographic search was undertaken using PubMed, PsycINFO, CINAHL, Science Direct. The data extraction process was completed using the Cochrane Review Group’s recommendations, the review was informed following PRISMA and MOOSE guidelines. Meta-analytic data were analysed using random effects models. Eight papers (data from 2993 mothers) were included. Mothers of ART multiple births were significantly more likely to experience depression (standardised mean difference d=.198, 95% CI .050-.0345, z=2.623, p = .009; heterogeneity I²=36.47%, p=.146), and stress (standardised mean difference d=.177, 95% CI .049-.305, p= .007; heterogeneity I²=.01%, p=.535) than mothers of ART singletons. No difference in psychosocial distress (combined stress and depression) (standardised mean difference d=.371, 95% CI -.153 - .895 p =.165; I²=86.962%, p=.001) or depression between mothers of ART or naturally conceived (NC) multiple births were found (d =.152, 95% CI -.179 - .483: z=.901: p=.368; I² =36.918%, p =.208). In conclusion, mothers of ART multiple births were significantly more likely to have depression and stress than mothers of ART singletons, but were no different from mothers of NC multiples.

Key words: ART/ assisted reproductive technology / psychological distress / meta-analysis
**Introduction**

Multiple pregnancy has been recognised as the greatest health risk to both infant and mother following natural conceptions (NC) and assisted reproductive technology (ART), such as In Vitro Fertilization (IVF) (HFEA, 2009a). In order to lower the risks of multiple births through assisted conception, guidelines, elective single embryo transfer policies (eSET), and consensus statements have been introduced internationally (e.g. HFEA, 2009a, 2009b; One at a Time, 2015; Min et al., 2010; CDC, 2014; ASRM, 2012; ESHRE consensus statement 2002 (Land and Evans, 2003). Mandatory adoption of eSET in some countries resulted in significant reductions of multiple births (e.g. Bissonnette et al., 2011) and perinatal mortality (Sullivan et al., 2012)

Much research on multiple births has emphasised maternal and infant mortality and medical morbidity, particularly in ART multiples (Ezugwu and Van der Burg, 2015). Less research has focused on maternal stress during pregnancy and psychosocial morbidity following (particularly prematurely delivered) multiple pregnancies. According to the fetal programming hypothesis (Egliston et al., 2007), maternal stress during pregnancy can alter the development of the fetus, especially of the brain. Post partum, multiple births can lead to maternal isolation, depression and, in extreme cases, child abuse (Ombelet et al., 2005). Furthermore, evidence also suggests that ART multiple births are associated with greater psychological problems compared with ART singleton births (e.g., Olivenness et al., 2005; Ellison et al., 2005; Vilska et al., 2009), but there are exceptions (Sydsjo et al., 2008). The evidence linking increased risks for depression in mothers of twins is generally well supported for ART multiples (Vilska and Unkila-Kallio, 2010) and NC multiples (Ross...
et al., 2011). Ross et al.’s (2011) systematic review reported that mothers of twins/multiples were likely to be at a higher risk for symptoms of postpartum depression. However, the authors did not clearly differentiate between the two possible control/comparison groups (mothers who conceived twins or multiples naturally and mothers who conceived ART singletons). Most of the available literature examined maternal psychological functioning after ART multiples, and there were insufficient data to perform meta-analysis on paternal psychological functioning after ART multiples (Vilska et al, 2009).

In studies comparing ART twins/multiples with NC twins/multiples, no differences in maternal psychological functioning have generally been found (e.g., Colpin et al., 1999; Vilska et al., 2009; Tully et al., 2003). Others have reported more psychological distress in mothers of ART twins/multiples than in mothers of NC twins/multiples (Baor et al., 2004; Yokoyama, 2003). These differences may be due to a higher risk of depression and marital decline in ART mothers (Klock, 2004).

Research evolving around marital satisfaction among ART mothers of multiples and singletons is conflicting. While some studies report no difference between these two groups (Olivenness et al., 2005), others support the hypothesis that a multiple birth decreases marital satisfaction among ART mothers (Roca de Bes, 2009; 2011). Ellison et al. (2005) reported a similar tendency, although the findings were not statistically significant. However, it seems that some ART multiple mothers tend to cope well with the strain and do not divorce more often than mothers of singletons (Pinborg et al., 2003). Many previous reviews are now over 10 years old (Klock, 2004; Bryan, 2002), are narrative reviews (Vilska and Unkila-Kallio, 2010; McGrath et al., 2010), considered twins/multiples briefly (Hammarberg et al., 2008), focused
solely on depression as the outcome variable (Ross et al., 2011) and did not control for multiplicity (Gressier et al., 2015). There is a need for other psychological consequences of postnatal emotional adjustment to be examined to gain a better understanding of the complex and multifactorial nature of the postnatal psychological state of women who conceive using ART and have multiple births.

Therefore, the aim of this study is to reconcile the previous research literature on the psychological consequences of twins/multiple births after ART. Given the clinical implications of ART multiple births, this meta-analytic and review process extrapolates the research evidence by comparing depression, anxiety and stress of ART twins/multiples mothers versus NC twins/multiples mothers and ART twins/multiples mothers versus ART singleton mothers. Following the theoretical background, the meta-analysis was based on the following hypotheses:

(1) Mothers of ART twins/multiples will report more psychological problems (depression, anxiety and stress) than mothers of ART singletons.

(2) NC twins/multiples mothers will report fewer psychological problems than ART twins/multiples mothers.

Materials and Methods

Searches were carried out by all three investigators, who all had previous experience of systematic reviewing and meta-analytic techniques.

Search strategy

This systematic review and meta-analysis was organised and structured according to the PRISMA and MOOSE guidelines (Stroup et al., 2000). A bibliographic search for publications was undertaken using PubMed, PsycINFO, CINAHL and Science Direct. Dates of publication ranged from 1976 to September 2014. The search was
augmented with hand searches of articles cited in reference lists and from relevant review papers (e.g. Ross et al., 2010). Most of the databases included the following keywords: (“postpartum” OR “postnatal” OR “pregnant” OR “perinatal” OR “childbirth” OR “obstetr” OR “labour” OR “puerperal” OR “parturition” OR “parity” OR “maternal”) AND (“multiple births” OR “twins” OR “triplets”) AND (“psychological stress” OR “depressive disorder” OR “anxiety” OR “anxiety disorder” OR “adjustment disorder” OR “emotions” OR “psychosomatic medicine” OR “psychological adaption” OR “distress” OR “depression” OR “stress” OR “stressors” OR “mental health” OR “mental illness” OR “mood disorder” OR “baby blues” OR “postpartum depression”) AND (“IVF” OR “intracytoplasmic” OR “intracytoplasmic sperm injection” OR “in vitro fertilization” OR “ICSI” OR “assisted reproduction” OR “ovulation induction” OR “embryo implantation” OR “artificial insemination” OR “sperm injections” OR “infertility” OR “fertility treatment”).

**Study selection**

Studies were included if they:

- compared depression, anxiety or stress of ART twins/multiple birth mothers versus ART singleton birth mothers;
- compared depression, anxiety or stress of ART twins/multiple birth mothers versus NC twins/multiple birth mothers.

Studies encompassing validated measures of depression, anxiety or stress, such as State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (Spielberger and Gorsuch, 1983), and Cohen’s Perceived Stress Scale (Cohen et al., 1983) and reporting continuous or categorical data (either self-report or observer rated) were included. Psychological distress was measured postpartum with no initial restriction for time. However, time was used in
the sensitivity data analysis. We were interested in any depression, not just post-natal depression, defined as onset within 5 (DSM IV) or 6 weeks post-delivery (ICD-10) to any point in the first year (Pearlstein et al, 2009). Papers presenting original data (e.g. journal articles and conference abstracts) were included. Studies were excluded if they were qualitative, case studies, reviews, reanalyses of data presented elsewhere, did not report standardised measurements, did not include a suitable comparison group and were not published in English. Another exclusion criterion was the impossibility to calculate effect sizes for variables. Where necessary, authors were contacted for additional information regarding their data. To avoid multiple publication bias (Higgins and Green, 2011) only the paper that reported the highest number of participants was included, if authors used the same data in different studies (selection of paper from multiple reports is noted in Tables 1 and 2).

Data screening and extraction

GP and OvdA independently screened all titles. GP, OvdA and SP independently screened all abstracts and full-text papers retrieved from the searches using PRISMA guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). The selection of studies was informed by the inclusion/exclusion criteria. All authors independently extracted and cross checked the data from each included study. Any disagreement relating to study selection and data extraction was fully resolved by authors through discussion to achieve total consensus.

Psychological data (stress, anxiety and depression) were extracted and analysed separately. In case of insufficient number of studies, data were combined to create a
psychological 'distress' score. Due to small sample sizes in studies of mothers of triplets, data were combined into 'multiples' score if studies reported psychological scores from twins and triplets separately. Therefore, two comparison groups were used (ART multiples versus ART singletons and ART multiples versus NC multiples). Additionally, available data on publication date, treatment location, sociodemographic (average age, average number of married mothers, average relationship length, average socio-economic status, median level of education, ethnicity -percentage of white mothers- and average number of women reporting religiosity), medical (average parity, average first or multiple cycles, average duration of infertility, use of donor sperm or oocytes -any versus none-, average duration of pregnancy, type of delivery -natural or C-section- and average percentage of medical complications for child(ren)) and psychosocial characteristics of mothers (average previous maternal mental health, average reported quality of marital relationship and reported social support) were extracted.

Quality Assessment
The quality criteria checklist included the recommendations of the Cochrane Collaboration (Deeks, 2009) and the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale (Wells et al., 2010). Each study was initially independently assessed (SP and OvdA). Then the results were collated and discussed. Full consensus was reached with regard to the full-text papers included in the meta-analysis.

- Selection: Points were awarded if: 1) the sample was representative (more than 80% eligibility to participate or participation rate or sample size higher than 300, according to Biovin et al., 2011) or somewhat representative of the ART population (more than 60% of eligible patients were invited and accepted.
to participate); 2) the selection of the control cohort was drawn from the same community as the main treatment cohort; 3) the study demonstrated that 'distress' (depression, state anxiety or stress) was not present at start of study.

- **Comparability:** Points were awarded if: 1) the study controlled for confounding variables, such as age, previous maternal mental health, parity and first cycle; 2) the study controlled for any other additional factors.

- **Outcome:** Points were awarded if: 1) there was adequate follow up of cohorts (if they had completed a follow up or participants lost to follow up were ≤20% and unlikely to introduce bias).

A study was considered good quality if it scored higher than four points. The maximum a study would achieve was six points.

### Data analyses

Data were analysed by SP and GP using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software program (Borenstein et al., 2005). Stress, anxiety or depression scores (e.g. events – presence of depression–, means) were converted into standardised mean differences and used to compare ART twins/multiple birth mothers with mothers of NC twins/multiples; and mothers of ART twins/multiples with mothers of ART singletons. A weighted effect size was calculated for all studies by using a random effects model. Timing of psychological assessment and quality ratings were used in the sensitivity analyses to examine whether effects were robust under different methodological assumptions. That is, we examined the effects of study quality and timing of psychological measurement on effect size results. A small number of studies and heterogeneity in study effect sizes ($I^2$ statistic) would prevent an analysis of the moderator effects. However, in case of heterogeneity, moderator analysis
could have been performed if more than 10 studies provided data on the putative moderating variables (Deeks et al., 2009). Since insufficient studies provided relevant data on variables for inclusion in moderator analysis, this could not be run. Finally, publication bias was tested by using Duval and Tweedie’s trim and fill method to impute studies where evidence of asymmetry was present (Duval and Tweedie, 2000). The significance of these effects was examined by using Egger’s t-test (Egger et al., 1997).

Results

Search Results
The screening process is summarised in the PRISMA flow chart (Figure 1). Titles of 1346 records were screened out of which 111 were duplicates. A total of 1235 titles were reviewed. Of these, 1075 did not meet the inclusion criteria. Therefore, 160 abstracts were reviewed, which led to the selection of 80 full text articles. Of these, 37 papers included irrelevant comparison groups (e.g. Baor et al., 2012; Boivin et al., 2009, etc.), 2 papers were reviews (e.g. Spillman, 1987), 3 studies were qualitative (Ellison et al., 2003; Garel et al., 1992; Garel et al., 1997), one paper had incomplete data for analysis and another one was not in English (Monset-Couchard et al., 1998). No assessment of appropriate psychological variable was found in 7 papers (e.g. Gameiro et al., 2011; Hammarberg et al., 2008 etc.). Other papers were excluded for irrelevant data collection time points (Lewis et al., 2011; Fisher et al., 2013 etc.), use of only singleton groups or data reported as adjusted scores or mothers and fathers data not separated. The studies specifically on fathers we identified used combined data sets and did not separate data for mothers and fathers. For example, Baor et al., (2004) used a mixed group (75 parents of twins: 38 ART and 37 SC) with no gender
difference in terms of parenting stress. Colpin et al. (1999) included a mixed group of 103 families of twins. Cook et al., (1998) presented data on 26 families of twins and Golombek et al. (2007) used 28 IVF families with triplets and 30 IVF families of singletons in their paper. Where gender differences in parental postpartum mental health are reported, too few control for parent gender and multiplicity separately (Vilska et al, 2009) to be included in the meta-analysis. In case of multiple reporting, papers using the highest sample size were included. For example, Olivennes (2005) was selected over Freeman et al. (2007) and Golombok et al. (2007). Sheard's (2007) paper was selected over Glazebrook et al. (2004). Finally, 8 papers met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis. Authors of original papers were contacted via e-mail by OA for additional data. When necessary, discussions took place to clarify the type of the data needed in our study. Three authors (C. Sheard, M. Roca-de Bes and S. Vilska) provided supplementary data to be included in the meta-analysis.

INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

**ART multiple births versus ART singleton births**

**Study characteristics**

Six studies were included in the ART multiple births versus ART singleton births meta-analysis (Table 1). Of these, four studies measured stress and six measured depression. The first hypothesis was partially tested as there were insufficient data to compare the levels of anxiety reported by mothers of ART multiples and mothers of ART singletons. Data from 1732 mothers were included in this meta-analysis. Response rates for questionnaires and retention for follow-up studies were satisfactory with only one study reporting a response rate below 50% (Roca de Bes
et al., 2011). Time of measurement varied across studies. Sheard et al. (2007) measured depression at six weeks postpartum. Roca de Bes et al. (2009; 2011) collected data at six months to four years postpartum. Ellison et al. (2005) examined depression and stress at one to four years postpartum. Olivennes et al. (2005) provided data for the time between two and five years postpartum while Vilska et al. (2009) collected data at two months and 1 year post-partum (mean 14 months postpartum). Finally, for all, but one of the studies, the quality was high (ranged between 3 and 5 points).

Sites: Studies were conducted in United States (Ellison et al., 2005) and Europe (France: Olivennes et al., 2005; Spain: Roca de Bes et al., 2009; 2011; United Kingdom: Sheard et al., 2007; Finland: Vilska et al., 2009).

Measures: Data were obtained from self-administered questionnaires assessing depression and stress, such as: CES-D (Ellison et al., 2005; Roca de Bes, 2009; 2011), PSI (Olivennes, 2005), Cohen Perceived Stress (Roca de Bes, 2009; 2011), EDS (Olivennes, 2005; Sheard et al., 2007) and GHQ-36 (Vilska et al., 2009).

Participants' characteristics: Comparisons by multiplicity indicated that there were no statistically significant differences between mothers of ART singletons and mothers of ART multiples in maternal education, pretax household income levels, ethnicity (Ellison et al., 2005), maternal age (Ellison et al., 2005; Sheard et al., 2007; Roca de Bes, 2011), marital status (Roca de Bes, 2011) or regarding children without siblings (Roca de Bes, 2011). However, in some studies, singleton mothers were older than multiple mothers and reported higher levels of employment (Olivennes et al., 2005). For the majority of the respondents (72% in Ellison et al., 2005; 86% in Sheard et al., 2007) this was their first full term pregnancy. Similarly, the majority of mothers of ART singletons (90.2%) and ART multiples (76.7%)
included in the study of Roca de Bes et al., (2009) were primiparous (85.7% of 37 mothers of twins and 40% of 9 mothers of triplets).

While scoring above 12 on the EPDS cannot be seen as indicating that a mother is experiencing post-natal depression, this tool is useful to detect those mothers that experience clinically significant psychological symptoms. In Roca de Bes’s (2009) study, although perceived stress and depression were higher in the mothers of ART multiples the difference was not statistically significant. A trend towards significance was also identified for ART multiple birth mothers on the EPDS with 15.6% scoring above 12 compared with 5.9% of the mothers of ART singletons in Sheard et al.’s (2007) study. This trend was associated with a difficult infant (Sheard et al., 2007), a multiple birth (Ellison et al., 2005; Sheard et al., 2007) and child-related stressors (Vilska et al., 2009). In line with this, mothers of ART multiple births reported feeling significantly more socially marked and devalued by their treatment decision than their ART singleton counterparts (Ellison et al., 2005; Roca de Bes, 2009; 2011). Although some studies included in this meta-analysis revealed that there was no difference between mothers of ART multiples and mothers of ART singletons in terms of assistance received from family members (Olivennes et al., 2005), families with ART multiples found it more difficult to cover basic needs (Roca de Bes, 2009; 2011). In addition, a significantly higher proportion of mothers of ART twins than mothers of ART singletons found parenting difficult (Olivennes et al., 2005; Ellison et al., 2005; Roca de Bes, 2009). Similarly, there was a significant difference between mothers of ART twins and mothers of ART singletons in the amount of pleasure they obtained from their child, with fewer mothers of twins than mothers of singletons
reporting feelings of great pleasure (Olivennes et al., 2005). Child-related stressors referred to child development and health problems in these studies. ART multiple birth children had greater health and developmental problems than their ART singleton counterparts in two studies (Olivennes et al., 2005; Roca de Bes, 2011), but the difference was non-significant in two other studies (Ellison et al., 2005; Roca de Bes, 2009). In Olivennes et al.’ study (2005), 10.7% of ART twins and 7.3% of ART singletons obtained scores above cut-off; these scores were in line with the expected value of 10% for the general population and were not significantly different from each other.

**Depression meta-analysis:**

Six studies reported data on depression in 1732 mothers in the post-natal period (Ellison et al., 2005; Olivennes et al., 2005; Roca de Bes et al., 2009; Roca de Bes et al., 2011; Sheard et al., 2007 and Vilska et al., 2009). Data supported the prediction that mothers who conceived multiples through ART were significantly more likely to experience depression than mothers who conceived singletons through ART (standardised mean difference d = .198, 95% CI .050-.0345, z =2.623, p = .009, with moderate levels of non-significant heterogeneity $I^2 = 36.467\%$, $p = .146$).

See Figure 2 for a forest plot.

No publication bias was revealed. Egger’s regression intercepts were non-significant, the funnel plot was symmetrical (Figure 2) and Duval and Tweedie’s trim-and-fill analyses indicated that no additional studies were needed. Sensitivity analysis showed a significant difference between the effect size of results taken at or before 1
year postpartum to those taken over 1 year (Q = 4.664, df = 1, p = .031). The effect size for depression at \( \leq 1 \) year was stronger \((d = .389; k = 2; 95\% \ CI .180-.599; z = 3.640, p < .00)\) than for depression assessed at >1 year \((d = .122, k = 4; 95\% \ CI .000-.244, z = 1.959, p = .050)\) with low levels of heterogeneity \(I^2 < .01\%, p = .564\). However, both effect sizes remained significant. As the number of studies was small (two studies with \( \leq 1 \) year depression data), these data must be treated with some caution. Furthermore, meta-regression indicated no evidence for the effect of study quality of results.

**Stress meta-analysis:**

Four studies reported data on stress in 1199 mothers (Ellison et al., 2005; Olivennes et al., 2005; Roca de Bes et al., 2009 and Roca de Bes et al., 2011). Data supported the prediction that mothers who conceived multiples through ART were significantly more likely to experience stress than mothers who conceived singletons through ART \((\text{standardised mean difference } d = .177, 95\% \ CI .049-.305, p = .007; \text{ heterogeneity } I^2 = .01\%, p = .535)\). See Figure 3 for a forest plot.

No publication bias was found. Egger’s regression intercepts were non-significant, the funnel plot was symmetrical (Figure 3) and Duval and Tweedie’s trim-and-fill analyses indicated that additional studies were not needed. As none of these studies provided data before 1 year postpartum, sensitivity analysis on time of assessment was not performed. Further, meta-regression indicated no evidence for the effect of study quality of results.
ART multiple births versus NC multiple births

Study characteristics

Three studies were included in the ART multiple births versus NC multiple births meta-analysis (see Table 2). One study measured stress (Boar and Soskolne, 2010), while the other two depression (Yokoyama et al., 2003; Vilska et al., 2009). To test the second hypothesis and given the small number of studies in this comparison, stress and depression scores were collated into a general 'distress score'. This decision was justified with the neurocognitive hypothesis stating that depression and anxiety are involved in the dysregulation of the stress-induced hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenocortical axis (HPA) (Reul and Holsboer, 2002; Sandi and Richter-Levin, 2009). Depression scores were also analysed independently. Data from 1261 mothers were included in the distress meta-analysis. Response rates for Baor and Soskolne (2010) and Vilska et al.'s (2009) studies were good. Boar and Soskolne (2010) assessed stress at six months postpartum, while Vilska et al. (2009) and Yokoyama et al. (2003) examined depression at two months and three years postpartum respectively. Study quality for Boar and Soskolne (2010) and Vilska et al. (2009) was good. Yokoyama et al.'s (2003) study had a satisfactory quality (score 3). Since anxiety was measured only in one study, (see Vilska et al., 2009 in Table 2), it was not included in the analysis.

Sites and Measures: Data were collected in Europe (Finland: Vilska et al., 2009) and Asia (Japan: Yokoyama, 2003; Israel: Baor et al., 2010) through self-
administered measures assessing depression and stress, such as: SF PSI (Baor et al., 2010), GHQ-36 (Vilska et al., 2009) and DSM IV (Yokoyama, 2003).

**Participants’ characteristics:** ART multiple birth mothers were older than NC mothers of multiples (Yokoyama, 2003). The pattern of psychological distress (combined anxiety and depression) was associated in these studies with child-related stressors (Yokoyama, 2003; Vilska et al., 2009; Baor et al., 2010) and employment status (Baor et al., 2010). ART mothers were more delighted when informed of a multiple pregnancy than mothers of NC multiples (Yokoyama, 2003). Additionally, in Yokoyama’s study (2003), ART mothers reported lower levels of anxiety about nursing the infants and economic concerns after delivery than mothers of NC.

**Stress and Depression meta-analysis:**

The findings for the combined ‘distress score’ for 1261 mothers did not support the second hypothesis of the meta-analysis. They indicated that mothers who conceived multiples through ART did not score differently on psychological distress compared with mothers who conceived multiples naturally (standardised mean difference $d = .371$, 95% CI $-.153$ to $.895$: $z = 1.387$: $p = .165$; with significant heterogeneity $I^2 = 86.962%$, $p = .001$). When only depression data were used (n=1075 mothers), the results were also non-significant, with non-significant heterogeneity (standardised mean difference $d = .152$, 95% CI $-.179$ to $.483$: $z = .901$: $p = .368$; $I^2 = 36.918%$, $p = .208$) (see Figures 4 and 5 for forest plots).

**INSERT FIGURES 4 AND 5 HERE**
No publication bias was found for both depression and distress studies. Egger’s regression intercepts were non-significant, the funnel plots were symmetrical (Figures 4 and 5) and Duval and Tweedie’s trim-and-fill analyses indicated no need for additional studies.

Discussion

The aim of this meta-analysis was to reconcile the previous research literature on the psychological consequences of twins/multiple births after ART. Eight studies were included to examine depression, anxiety and stress of mothers of ART twins/multiples versus mothers of NC twins/multiples and mothers of ART twins/multiples versus mothers of ART singletons. Two hypotheses informed the analytic process. The first hypothesis was partially tested, as there were not enough data on anxiety for mothers of ART multiples and mothers of ART singletons to run the analysis. However, results supported the predictions for depression and stress scores. To test the second hypothesis we adopted the methodological strategy to combine scores on stress and depression in the data analysis with ART multiples and NC multiples, given the limited number of studies. Results did not support the second prediction.

Strengths and Weaknesses

Despite its contribution to knowledge, this meta-analysis has some limitations. Although the overall number of studies was small, the sample sizes were generally good. The number of participants in two (mothers of ART singletons versus mothers of ART multiples - depression and stress analysis; ART multiple births versus NC multiple births - depression and combined stress analysis) of the four datasets
exceeded 1000 each. This allowed for acceptable comparisons to be made. Given
the scarce number of studies and the lack of heterogeneity, moderator effect
analysis could not be run (Deeks et al., 2009). As a history of previous depression is
one of the highest risk factors for postnatal depression (Sutcliffe and Derom, 2006;
Fisher and Stocky, 2003), such an analysis would have allowed the examination of
the effects of baseline depression on depression in the postpartum. Most studies did
not include baseline measurements of psychological distress, therefore, baseline
measurement was not included as an essential criterion for study selection. Although
reliable and valid measures of psychological constructs were used, a distinction
should be made between these in terms of their theoretical grounds, as a
combination of generic and specific scales were included. For example, parenting
stress as measured by the PSI (Olivennes, 2005) is substantively and theoretically
distinct from generalized stress as measured by the Cohen Perceived Stress (Roca
de Bes, 2009; 2011), and thus these two should not be confounded. In addition, a
distinction should be made between depression measured by generic scales such as
the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-36 in Vilska et al., 2009) or the
Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (Ellison et al., 2005; Roca de Bes, 2009;
2011) and by the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS) (Olivennes, 2005;
Sheard et al., 2007). However, as previous studies indicate, there is normally a high
degree of overlap between general depression scales and postnatal depression
scales (e.g. EPDS) (Gaynes et al., 2005). De Beurs (2004), for example, used items
on the Brief Symptom Inventory, while in other studies GHQ anxiety and depression
(Goldberg, 1972) were combined with state and trait anxiety (Spielberger et al, 1970;
Rondo et al., 2003). Validated measures of depression, anxiety and emotional
(psychological) subscales from QoL questionnaires (Veltman-Verhulst, 2012) were used in combination too.

Our methodological strategy to combine stress and depression into a ‘distress score’ was supported by the tripartite model of anxiety and depression (Clark and Watson, 1991) and neurocognitive theories that suggest that similar pathways are involved in the three constructs (Reul and Holsboer, 2002). Accordingly, general distress, physiological hyperarousal (specific anxiety) and anhedonia (specific depression) are components of the diagnosis of mixed anxiety-depression. Increased concentrations of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) in the cerebrospinal fluid have been reported in both anxiety and depression (Boyer, 2000). CRH plays a central role in the regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA)-axis, i.e., the final common pathway in the stress response (Swaab et al., 2005). A similar design was used in a previous meta-analysis that combined anxiety and depression, the author arguing that these are “reliably related to stress induced activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis” (Boivin et al. 2011). However, as these components can be differentiated on the basis of their specific factors, we recommend some caution in terms of the implications of our findings.

Our results indicate that depression and stress are more likely to occur after ART multiple births compared with ART singleton births, but the mechanism underpinning this process is unclear, given the constrains imposed by the available data upon this meta-analysis. This review provides convincing evidence that more research is necessary to tease out factors that may influence psychosocial consequences of ART and multiplicity, including previous mental health problems. No publication
biases were found for any of the meta-analyses of the psychological components included in the study.

**Generalization of the findings**

Since previous research has shown that ART treatment itself can be stressful (Eugster and Vingerhoets, 1999; Williams et al., 2007), we carried out further meta-analyses comparing mothers of NC multiples with ART multiples in an attempt to tease out the effect of multiples from the effects of ART. Only three studies (Baor et al., 2010; Vilska et al., 2009; Yokoyama et al., 2003) could be used in the comparison. The results show that there might be something particularly stressful about having multiple births, regardless of mode of conception. It is possible that there are specific effects of premature births, more common in multiple births, although we could not find sufficient data to support this. Premature multiple birth infants are often diagnosed with health problems such as respiratory and neuro-developmental difficulties or disabilities and require extended and frequent hospitalization (Blickstein, 2002; 2003). Other factors such as difficulty establishing breastfeeding, and physical recovery (Fisher and Stocky 2003) in parents of multiples may also be responsible. Our meta-analysis showed no effect of mode of conception on depression and distress scores. These findings support Klock’s review (2004) on the psychological adjustment to twins after infertility, indicating that mothers of multiples are likely to be more vulnerable to depression. Contrary to Ross et al’s (2011) systematic review and Gressier et al.’s (2015) meta-analysis, other authors have reported that ART is stressful (Eugster and Vingerhoets, 1999; Williams et al., 2007). Increased risks of premature and low birth weight babies in ART twins compared with NC twins, after accounting for confounders, have been
reported in a previous meta-analysis of 12 studies (McDonald et al., 2010), and this needs further study. However, this meta-analysis focused on ART versus NC twin perinatal outcomes.

**Alternative explanations for the results**

We cannot conclude that ART multiple births lead to stress and depression (Ross et al., 2011). However, different potential explanations for higher psychological problems in ART multiple birth mothers have been provided previously. It has been suggested that infant temperament may combine with other vulnerability factors to increase the risk of depression (Cutrona and Troutman, 1986; Murray et al., 1996). It is also possible that ART multiple birth mothers are more distressed or more vulnerable to distress during pregnancy (e.g. age, having multiple embryos implanted and more difficult pregnancies or not being able to afford multiple rounds of IVF, according to van Balen et al., 1996) and in the postpartum (Roca de Bes et al., 2009; Sutcliffe and Derom, 2006; Fisher and Stocky, 2003). In addition, maternal prenatal distress is associated with low birth weight and prematurity (Rondo et al., 2003), although ART treatment itself has been reported to lead to little or no increased risk for post-partum depression (Ross et al., 2011; Gressier et al., 2015). Therefore, ART multiple birth mothers may not be completely comparable with the mothers of ART singleton cohorts.

Finally, the studies included were mainly performed in Europe (France: Olivennes et al., 2005; Spain: Roca de Bes et al., 2009; 2011; United Kingdom: Sheard et al., 2007; Finland: Vilska et al., 2009), with three studies from different countries/continents (US - Ellison et al., 2005; Japan - Yokoyama, 2003; and Israel -
Baor et al., 2010). The amount of state support offered to women during and after pregnancy has an impact on maternal and child health and mental health outcomes and different countries have different policies on maternal and child health support (International Labour Organization 2014). For example, the UK offers comprehensive maternity protection, with 365 maternity leave days, whereas the US only offers 84 maternity days and Japan with 98 days (International Labour Organization 2014). Unfortunately, with the limited number of studies, it is difficult to comment on whether differing national policies on maternal and child health (and childcare) has an impact on maternal psychological functioning after multiples. However, more research is needed worldwide to investigate this and possibly develop an international framework to support multiple families better.

Implications of the results

We strongly recommend that the clinical implications of ART specific multiple births should be explored further because: a) post-natal distress is likely to co-exist with previous pregnancy distress (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, 2002); b) the consequences of distress in pregnancy are known to affect fetal growth (Henrichs et al., 2009); c) prematurity and low birth weight are important determinants of neonatal mortality (Shinwell et al., 2015) and neonatal, infant and childhood morbidity (de Kleine et al., 2007); d) the incidence of prematurity in mothers with comorbid anxiety and depression is greater than in non-depressed mothers (Field et al., 2010); and e) the consequences of maternal depression and distress in the first years following delivery affect mothers’ interaction with their babies, which in turn is known to alter their cognitive, social and emotional development (Murray et al., 1999). Taken together, these effects are complex and
likely to pose considerable and serious public health concerns (Black and Bhattacharya, 2010).

**Guidelines for future research**

One of the aims of this meta-analysis was to compare levels of depression in ART and NC multiple births mothers. There was a dearth of research into men’s psychological health and insufficient paternal data within multiple/ singleton; ART / NC groups. Given the scarce identified evidence that was available even in women, it can be concluded that the psychological consequences of multiple births specifically and ART generally are understudied (van den Akker, 2013). Vilska et al. (2009) reported 1<=year depression data and found no difference between mothers of ART multiples and mothers of NC multiples, while Yokoyama (2003) found a greater level of depression in ART multiple births mothers than NC multiple births mothers >1 year postpartum. Our findings suggest the need of more research on the psychological consequences of multiple births and assisted reproduction to allow more comprehensive meta-analyses involving moderating variables. Such an effort would contribute to the explanation and clinical implications of the associations found in our meta-analysis in terms of depression and stress in ART multiple births mothers compared with ART singleton births mothers and NC multiple births mothers. Personality characteristics, such as neuroticism and specific coping strategies, for example, have recently been identified as positively associated with ART distress (Rockliff et al., 2014). The same systematic review indicated that positive emotional states were rarely reported (Rockliff et al., 2014). The relative contributions of distress in pregnancy (which could not be included in our meta-analysis) and the different etiological factors (biological or psychological) to describe
mechanisms for distress and depression in the first years’ post-partum remain elusive. However, extrapolating differences within populations is important, thus allowing for effectively targeted treatment (Dennis et al., 2005). It can be still argued that psychological research is lagging behind ART practice.

Conclusion
Mothers of ART multiple births exhibit significantly more stress and depression compared with mothers of ART singleton births. Methodological and clinical features failed to explain the effect size variations. Heterogeneity was small and study quality had no effect. Based on the results of our meta-analysis, and bearing in mind the limitations described, clinicians should be aware of the likelihood of stress and depression in mothers of multiples and women undergoing ART. Such data should be used to deter multiple embryo transfers and encourage eSET, given the clear evidence for the effects in the first 2 years post-partum. Finally, the effects for depression were stronger at <=1 year postpartum than at >1 year postpartum, suggesting that women need more support to cope with multiples following the first year post-delivery. Postnatal depression is more common at 1<= postpartum than after the first year and its occurrence in ART multiple births may be underplayed because of their increased efforts to have a baby and the assumption that they will be happy and able to cope.
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